

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/612,124	07/02/2003	David E. Dugger	28498.00	3889
22465	7590 09/22/2004		EXAMINER	
	BRITTIAN P C		THISSELL, J	ENNIFER I
P O BOX 512 KNOXVILLI	295 E, TN 37950-1295		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3635	

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/612,124	DUGGER, DAVID E.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Jennifer I Thissell	3635					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of th period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC a statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	02 July 2003.						
·		This action is non-final.						
-	,—							
Disposition	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the applicate that the applicate to the above claim(s) is/are with the applicate to claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction is	thdrawn from consideration.						
Application	on Papers							
9) 🗌 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c The oath or declaration is objected to by t		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •).				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)[] <i>A</i>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bee the attached detailed Office action for	nments have been received. Iments have been received in A Expriority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage					
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 No(s)/Mail Date 7/2/03.	18) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 					

Art Unit: 3635

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 1-18 are objected to as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 1, 7, and 13, the language between the preamble and certain portions of the body of the claim is inconsistent. For example, the preamble of claim 1 sets forth the subcombination "corner guard". However, line 13 of the claim recites "said tile cover protectively covers the tiles", and line 15 recites "said edge guard protectively covers the intersection of side walls", which sets forth a positive relationship between the corner guard and the tiles, and the edge guard and the tiles, and thus appears to claim a combination. Applicant should note that the claims that have inconsistent language are being considered as having been drawn to the subcombination of the "corner guard". If it is indicated by amendment that the combination is the intention, the language throughout must be made consistent, i.e. the claims must be amended to recite positive recitation of the combination throughout. Otherwise, the language must be changed to "said tile cover is adapted to cover the tiles" or similar.

Application/Control Number: 10/612,124 Page 3

Art Unit: 3635

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 7, 8, 13, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ishikawa (JP 02-161063). Ishikawa teaches a corner guard (page 482, figure b) having a bent edge guard 8 with a base end, a ledge 9 formed integrally with the base end and extending a width outwardly form the base end, and a tile cover 7 integrally depending downwardly from the ledge. The edge guard includes two strips with elongated lengths extending from the base end to upper ends, the tile cover has side segments 7a,7b with a width.

Since Ishikawa shows or discloses all of the structural limitations of the invention, the structure is capable of performing all of the functions of the corner guard.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/612,124

Art Unit: 3635

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3-6, 9-12, and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ishikawa (JP 02-161063). Ishikawa teaches a structure as stated above, but does not specify that the width of the side segments is between about 2-3 inches and the length is between 5-6 inches, that the edge guard strips include a width to cover at least one inch and a length of between about 10-20 inches, or that the ledge includes a width of 1/4-3/4 inch. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select dimensions in the stated ranges, since discovering the optimum range is considered routine in the art. The dimensions of the structure could be modified according to the size of the elements to be covered.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennifer I Thissell whose telephone number is (703) 306-5750. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays and Tuesdays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman can be reached on (703) 308Application/Control Number: 10/612,124

Art Unit: 3635

0839. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

THE

Carl D. Friedman
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3600